

The Swedish interest deduction limitation rules are incompatible with EU law

The European Court of Justice ruled that the so-called ten percent rule in the former Swedish interest deduction limitation rules was in conflict with the EU freedom of establishment.



On the 20 January 2021, the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) published a preliminary ruling in the Loxel case (C-484/19) in which the court concluded that the ten percent rule, in force from 2013 to 2018, in the Swedish interest deduction limitation rules, is in conflict with EU-law.

BACKGROUND AND FACTS OF THE CASE

A Swedish company paid interest to an affiliate within the same group in France. The French company that received the payments of interest had large deficits that had arisen within the French group, which led to the result that the French company could offset losses against the interest income received.

The Swedish company then asked for a tax deduction for the interests paid, which was denied by the Swedish Tax Agency on the grounds of chapter 24 paragraph 10d third

subparagraph (the so-called "ten percent rule") in the Swedish Income tax law (IL). National Swedish legislation does not allow interest deduction paid to another company within a group of affiliated companies if the main reason for the debt arising is for the affiliated company to benefit from substantial tax advantages.

The decision to deny the company deduction was - ultimately - appealed to the Swedish Supreme Administrative Court (SAC). In June 2019 the SAC decided to refer the case to the CJEU for a preliminary ruling. In the request for a preliminary ruling SAC asked if the Swedish prohibition of deductions of interest constituted an obstacle to the freedom of establishment, which is prohibited by EU law.

THE PRELIMINARY RULING OF THE CJEU

As mentioned above, the CJEU concluded that the so-called ten percent rule is in conflict with EU-law (the freedom of establishment). The CJEU based its assessment on, among others things, the fact that the government bill to the Swedish legislation regarding the ten percent rule concludes that the rule was not meant to apply to companies that are able to tax consolidate through group contributions.

Since the rules on group contributions only apply to companies that are taxable in Sweden, cross-border groups are treated differently from groups resident in Sweden.

Consequently, the CJEU held that the Swedish rules entail a difference in treatment which has a negative impact on the companies' ability to exercise their freedom of establishment.

This difference in treatment cannot be justified by reference to the need to prevent tax avoidance or abuse, or to the need to ensure a balanced allocation of taxing rights between Member States. Also, a combination of these two grounds of justification cannot be accepted. Hence, the CJEU concluded that the Swedish rules are incompatible with the freedom of establishment.

The Lexel case is now referred back to the SAC for a final decision. In our view a final decision from the SAC can be expected within six to nine months.

MAIN TAKEAWAYS

The Swedish Tax Agency has denied interest deductions under the interest deduction limitation rules in force from 2013 to 2018 with very large amounts. The preliminary ruling from the CJEU will impact numerous companies that have been denied interest deductions.

The judgment is primarily applicable to Swedish companies that have been denied deductions for interest paid to parent or sister companies in other member states, between which the Swedish company would have been able to exchange group contribution should they have been Swedish. However, the applicability of the judgment may be much wider than this.

For example, in view of the CJEU's decision in Lexel, the new rules, introduced as of January 1st, 2019, and the rules applicable prior to the rules in force from 2013 to 2018, may also in part be contrary to the freedom of establishment.

The principles of the case may also have bearing on similar rules in other member states. For example, the CJEU is very firm in upholding its previous case law regarding which justifications may be acceptable when infringing the freedom of establishment. It is

clearly not possible to justify an obstacle to the freedom of establishment by attempting to defend the tax base in a single member state, which Sweden tried to do in this case.

In the Netherlands, there is an interest deduction limitation rule similar to the interest deduction rule at stake in the Lexel case. The Dutch rule, which is laid down in article 10a of the Dutch corporate income tax act 1969 ("CITA"), prevents deduction of interest on intra group loans which have been used for certain tainted transactions, unless the business reasons of both the loan and the transaction can be demonstrated. Business reasons are deemed present if the interest at the level of the recipient is effectively subject to a reasonable taxation whereby an effective tax rate of 10% is deemed reasonable.

Unmistakably, the purpose of the Dutch article 10a is to prevent fraud and erosion of the Dutch tax base. It follows from the Lexel case that such purposes are only acceptable under EU law to the extent they prevent deduction of interest on loans which would not have been entered under at arm's length conditions with independent third parties. As such, the CJEU applies the same approach as it has done in transfer pricing cases such as Thin Cap GLO C-545/04, 13 March 2007.

The impact of this approach and the Lexel decision on the Dutch article 10a CITA could arguably be that interest paid on loans that fall within the scope of this article are deductible to the extent the interest rate on such loans, and the other conditions under which the loan is entered, are at arm's length. This would be a far less burden for the tax payer than the current application of article 10a, which requires the tax payer to demonstrate commercial motives for both the loans as well as the transaction which has been financed by the loan. We would advise Dutch taxpayers with 10a situations to consider this new case law when taking a position.

AUTHORS

Fredrik Berndt
fredrik.berndt@svalner.se
T +46 709 68 90 85

Carl Lindberg
carl.lindberg@svalner.se
T +46 73 317 58 00

Anders Lilja
anders.lilja@svalner.se
T + 46 701 46 60 35

Svalner Skatt & Transaktion
Smålandsgatan 16, 111 46 Stockholm,
Sweden
www.svalner.se

Masoumeh Kangarani
mk@atlas.tax
T +32 2 773 40 00

Atlas
Weteringschans 24, 1017 SG Amsterdam,
Netherlands
www.atlas.tax

EDITORIAL TEAM

The ETLC Editorial Team is in charge of the review of the publications by the ETLC. It is composed by several members of the ETLC:

- » Giovanni Rolle (WTS R&A, Italy)
- » Koen Morbée (Tiberghien, Belgium)
- » Masoumeh Kangarani (Netherlands, Atlas)
- » Matthias Vekeman (Tiberghien, Belgium)
- » Matthias Mitterlehner (ICON, Austria)
- » Thomas De Meyer (Tiberghien, Belgium)
- » Inés Blanco (WTS Global)

For any questions, please contact us at knowledge.management@wts.com

ABOUT THE "ETLC"

The WTS Global European Tax Law Center (ETLC) consists of dedicated tax experts from WTS Global specializing on recent news, developments and court decisions at EU level.

The ETLC newsflash bundle the most relevant information and provide first hand analysis by tax experts from WTS Global.

ABOUT WTS GLOBAL

With representation in over 100 countries, WTS Global has already grown to a leadership position as a global tax practice offering the full range of tax services and aspires to become the preeminent non-audit tax practice worldwide. WTS Global deliberately refrains from conducting annual audits in order to avoid any conflicts of interest and to be the long-term trusted advisor for its international clients. Clients of WTS Global include multinational companies, international mid-size companies as well as private clients and family offices.

The member firms of WTS Global are carefully selected through stringent quality reviews. They are strong local players in their home market who are united by the ambition of building a truly global practice that develops the tax leaders of the future and anticipates the new digital tax world. WTS Global effectively combines senior tax expertise from different cultures and backgrounds and offers world-class skills in advisory, in-house, regulatory and digital, coupled with the ability to think like experienced business people in a constantly changing world.

For more information please see: wts.com

IMPRINT

WTS Global
P.O. Box 19201 | 3001 BE Rotterdam
Netherlands
T +31 (10) 217 91 71 | F +31 (10) 217 91 70
wts.com info@wts.de

The above information is intended to provide general guidance with respect to the subject matter. This general guidance should not be relied on as a basis for undertaking any transaction or business decision, but rather the advice of a qualified tax consultant should be obtained based on a taxpayer's individual circumstances. Although our articles are carefully reviewed, we accept no responsibility in the event of any inaccuracy or omission. For further information please refer to the authors.